

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.mspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/046,840	03/24/1998	DAKAI LIU	ENZ-56(DIV3)	ENZ-56(DIV3) 2602	
28169	7590 01/08/2002				
	ENZO THERAPEUTICS, INC.			EXAMINER	
527 MADIS	BIOCHEM INC. ON AVENUE 9TH FLO	OOR	GUZO, DAVID		
NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636 DATE MAILED: 01/08/2002	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/046,840	LIU ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Guzo	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AppelExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 August 2001</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	ppellant's Brief must be filed wi R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (thin the period set to of the appeal.	orth in		
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the control of the control			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 68-84.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:		DAVID GI PRIMARY EX Javic			
6. Patent and Trademark Office					

~ 1.

Centinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/046,840

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants have not presented arguments sufficiently new or convincing so as to overcome the outstanding rejections. With regard to the 102(e) rejection of claims 68-79 and 81-84 over Wong-Staal et al., columns 6-7of the Wong-Staal et al. patent recite cells (which are packaging cells) for production of the second vector from the first vector and which provides packaging components for the second vector. With regard to the 102(b) rejection over Salmons et al., and the 103(a) rejection over Wong-Staal et al. in virew of Bank, the rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the previous Office Actions.